UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,566	11/11/2003	Hans-Jurgen Wachter	Heraeus 412-WCG	4253
	7590 08/21/200 AUGHLIN & MARCU	EXAMINER		
875 THIRD AV		KESSLER, CHRISTOPHER S		
18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/705,56	66	WACHTER ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		CHRISTO	PHER KESSLER	1793		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence ac	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	04 August 2008				
-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	This action is n				
3)	<i>,</i> —	'		osecution as to the	e merits is	
٥,١) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	·				
-	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	ation				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	idiawii iloili coi	isideration.			
	· · ·					
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[_	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/705,566 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4 August 2008 has been entered.

Status of Claims

2. Responsive to the amendment filed 4 August 2008, claim 4 is amended to correct a typographical error. Claims 16-19 were previously withdrawn. Claims 1-15 are currently under examination.

Status of Previous Rejections

3. Responsive to the amendment filed 4 August 2008, the previous grounds for rejection are maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 6,767,360 issued to Alt et al. (hereinafter "Alt").

Regarding claims 1-3, 5-10, 12 and 13, Alt is applied to the claims as stated in the Office Action of 3 August 2007.

6. Claims 11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alt as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 6,387,121 issued to Alt (hereinafter "Alt '121").

Regarding claims 11, 14, and 15, Alt in view of Alt '121 is applied to the claims as stated in the Office Action of 3 August 2007.

7. Claims 1, 2, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,845,259 issued to Pacetti et al. (hereinafter "Pacetti"), in view of Alt.

Regarding claims 1-4, Pacetti in view of Alt is applied to the claims as stated in the Office Action of 3 August 2007.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 4 August 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants have stated that the invention was made prior to the cited prior art, but there is no evidence on record to support these statements. The rejections based on the Alt reference are in effect.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,566 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

Conclusion

9. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER KESSLER whose telephone number is (571)272-6510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5.

Art Unit: 1793

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793

csk

Application Number

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
	10/705,566	WACHTER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	CHRISTOPHER KESSLER	1793		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20080815